

PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW		Docket Number (Optional) 20835D1									
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] on _____ Signature _____ Typed or printed name _____	Application Number 10/801405	Filed March 16, 2004									
	First Named Inventor Senn, et al.										
	Art Unit 1616	Examiner Alton Pryor									
<p>Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.</p> <p>This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.</p> <p>The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s). Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.</p> <p>I am the</p> <table style="width: 100%; border: none;"><tr><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding-bottom: 10px;"><input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.</td><td style="width: 50%; vertical-align: top; padding-bottom: 10px;">/JAMES CUEVA/ _____ Signature</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top; padding-bottom: 10px;"><input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)</td><td style="vertical-align: top; padding-bottom: 10px;">James Cueva _____ Typed or printed name</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top; padding-bottom: 10px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number 58558</td><td style="vertical-align: top; padding-bottom: 10px;">336-632-6757 _____ Telephone number</td></tr><tr><td style="vertical-align: top;"><input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____</td><td style="vertical-align: top;">June 8, 2010 _____ Date</td></tr></table> <p>NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.</p>				<input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.	/JAMES CUEVA/ _____ Signature	<input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	James Cueva _____ Typed or printed name	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number 58558	336-632-6757 _____ Telephone number	<input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____	June 8, 2010 _____ Date
<input type="checkbox"/> applicant/inventor.	/JAMES CUEVA/ _____ Signature										
<input type="checkbox"/> assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/96)	James Cueva _____ Typed or printed name										
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent of record. Registration number 58558	336-632-6757 _____ Telephone number										
<input type="checkbox"/> attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 _____	June 8, 2010 _____ Date										
<input type="checkbox"/> *Total of _____ forms are submitted.											

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.6. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. **SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.**

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Privacy Act Statement

The **Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579)** requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

1. The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
2. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiations.
3. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
4. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
5. A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
6. A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (*i.e.*, GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filed in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
9. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

Art Unit: 1616

SENN ET AL.

Examiner: PRYOR, Alton

APPLICATION NO: 10/801,405

Confirmation No. 5092

FILED: March 16, 2004

FOR: PESTICIDAL COMPOSITIONS

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PRE APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Applicants request review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this Request. A three month extension of time is filed herewith.

This Request is being filed with a Notice of Appeal and the appeal fee.

The Review is being requested for the reasons stated on the sheets attached hereto.

Applicants do not believe any additional fees are due with this request. If additional fees are due, please charge the required fees to Deposit Account No. 50-1676 in the name of Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.

Status/Summary of Claims

Claims 17, 18, 20, 24, 31 and 33-43 are pending in the application.

Claim 33, 37 and 42 are directed to compositions for controlling insects

Claims 17, 18, 31, 34, 35, 36, 38, 39, 40, 41 and 43 are directed to methods for
controlling insect.

Claims 20 and 24 is directed to plant propagation material treated with the composition
of claim 33.

Claims 17, 18, 20, 24, 31 and 33-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being
unpatentable over U.S. Patent 5,852,012 to Mainfisch et al. ("Mainfisch") and the The
Agrochemical Handbook.

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

Claims 17, 18, 20, 23, 24, 31 and 33-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being
unpatentable over Mainfisch and The Agrochemical Handbook.

The Examiner states that Mainfisch teaches: an insecticidal compound comprising
thiamethoxam, compositions comprising thiamethoxam and optionally other actives, and
methods of controlling insects comprising applying the compounds to the pests, crop, seed,
foliage and soil. The Examiner acknowledges that Mainfisch fails to teach the composition or
method comprising abamectin. However, the Examiner states that the Agrochemical Handbook
teaches abamectin as an insecticide, and argues that it would have been obvious to one of
ordinary skill in the art to modify Mainfisch to include the abamectin taught by the
Agrochemical handbook. The Examiner argues that one of ordinary skill in the art would have
been motivated to do so since each reference has the same utility, it would be automatic that
Mainfisch's modified method would control insects.

Examiner has failed to establish a *prima facie* case of Obviousness

Applicants respectfully submit the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case of
obviousness. Applicants respectfully submit that the Examiner has failed to show that the
references teach or suggest all the claim limitations. Notably, the Examiner has not cited any

reference or provided any rationale for the limitation of claim 33 “wherein the weight ratio of thiamethoxam to abamectin is from 10:1 to 1:1.” As such, Applicants submit the examiner has failed to establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness.

Data Presented provides for Unexpected Results

Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner’s rejection. Applicants respectfully submit that the data presented in the declaration of Elke Hillesheim provides the requisite evidence to rebut the Examiner’s *prime facie* case of obviousness. Applicants respectfully submit that the comparative data, taken as whole found in Tables 2a, 2b, and 2c (corrected) provides substantial evidence of unexpectedness for claims 17, 18, 20, 24, 31 and 33-41.

The Examiner has responded to the Applicant’s argument that the declarations filed contained mixed results in tables 2a, 2b, 2c, 2d and 2e for a combination of abamectin and thiamethoxam.

Applicants had amended claims 17, 20, 33 and 36 to recite “insects” Applicants note that that the species *Tetranychus urticae*, which data is provided for in Tables 2d and 2e is not an insect. Accordingly, Applicants submit that the data presented in Table 2d and 2e is not relevant to claim 33 and claims dependent thereon.

Claims 42 & 43

In the previous amendment filed by Applicants, Applicants added new claims 42 & 43 which respectively recite “A composition for controlling pests comprising a synergistically effect amount of thiamethoxam and abamectin” and “A method of controlling pests comprising applying a synergistically effect amount of thiamethoxam and abamectin, to the pests or to plants, plant propagation material, site where the propagation material is brought out, or soil.”

In light of the arguments set forth above, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of all of the pending claims.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees under 37 CFR §1.17 which may be required, or credit any overpayment, to Account No. 50-1676 in the name of Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.

Respectfully submitted,

USPTO Customer No. 26748
Syngenta Crop Protection, Inc.
Patent and Trademark Dept.
410 Swing Road
Greensboro, NC 27409
(336) 632-6757

/James Cueva/
James Cueva
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 58,558

Date: June 08, 2010